See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: http://www.researchgate.net/publication/281634902

Hemostatic dressings in civil prehospital practice: 30 uses of QuikClot Combat Gauze

ARTICLE in EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF EMERGENCY MEDICINE · SEPTEMBER 2015

Impact Factor: 1.58 · DOI: 10.1097/MEJ.000000000000318

READS

16

7 AUTHORS, INCLUDING:



Stéphane Travers

Fire Brigade of Paris

24 PUBLICATIONS **75** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Hugues Lefort

Fire Brigade of Paris

73 PUBLICATIONS 19 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



D. Jost

Brigade de sapeurs pompiers de Paris

58 PUBLICATIONS **65** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Hemostatic dressings in civil prehospital practice: 30 uses of QuikClot Combat Gauze

Stéphane Travers, Hugues Lefort, Eric Ramdani, Sabine Lemoine, Daniel Jost, Michel Bignand and Jean-Pierre Tourtier

To report the use and describe the interest of hemostatic dressings in a civilian setting, we provided medical prehospital teams with QuikClot Combat Gauze (QCG) and asked physicians to complete a specific questionnaire after each use. Thirty uses were prospectively reported. The wounds were mostly caused by cold steel (n = 15) and were primarily cervicocephalic (n = 16), with 19/30 active arterial bleedings. For 26/30 uses, hemostatic dressing was justified by the inefficiency of other hemostasis techniques. Those 30 applications were associated with 22 complete cessations of bleeding, six decreases of bleeding, and ineffectiveness in two cases. The application of QCG permitted the removal of an effective tourniquet that was applied initially for three patients. No side-effects were reported. The provision of hemostatic dressings in civilian

resuscitation ambulances was useful by providing an additional tool to limit bleeding while rapidly transporting the injured patient to a surgical facility. European Journal of Emergency Medicine 00:000-000 Copyright © 2015 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

European Journal of Emergency Medicine 2015. 00:000-000

Keywords: emergency medical services, hemorrhage, hemostatic dressing, wounds and injuries

Fire Brigade of Paris, Emergency Medical Department, Paris, France

Correspondence to Stéphane Travers, MD, Fire Brigade of Paris, Emergency Medical Department, 1 place Jules Renard, 75017 Paris, France Tel: +33 622 246 434; fax: +33 156 796 754; e-mail: travers.stephane@gmail.com

Received 13 March 2015 Accepted 31 July 2015

Introduction

Prehospital medical rescuers can face difficulties with controlling external bleeding by conventional means (e.g. standard gauze field dressing, elastic bandages, direct pressure, tourniquet), and uncontrolled external hemorrhaging remains a leading cause of preventable death in trauma [1].

During the 2000s, several types of topical hemostatic agents were developed to better deal with these situations. Among these, QuikClot Combat Gauze (QCG) is a flexible, nonwoven (50-50 rayon/polyester) gauze impregnated with kaolin, an aluminum silicate with a hemostatic effect caused by the activation of the intrinsic clotting pathway [2].

These devices have been studied and used widely by Western armies in Iraq and Afghanistan [2,3]. However, their use has seldom been described in a civilian setting.

Aims of this study

To report the use of hemostatic dressings such as QCG and to describe their potential interest in civilian prehospital emergency medicine.

Materials and methods

This was a prospective observational study carried out from 1 June 2011 to 30 May 2014 by the medical department of the Paris Fire Brigade. After specific training for physicians and nurses, QuikClot Combat Gauze (Z-MEDICA, LLC, Wallingford, Connecticut, USA) were made available in our nine medical ambulances. Local guidelines recommended

0969-9546 Copyright © 2015 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved.

using them only in cases of failure of the usual hemorrhagecontrol measures (e.g. direct pressure with standard gauze field dressing, elastic bandages, tourniquet).

A specific questionnaire was completed by the physicians or nurses after each prehospital use (Table 1). Data were collected on patients (age, sex, previous use of anticoagulant therapy), clinical status (type of wound, hemodynamics), management of bleeding (previous hemostasis gestures; interest in prescribing hemostatic dressing; effectiveness of QCG, in terms of complete stopping or decrease of the bleeding; side-effects; difficulty of use), hospital data (clinical status, difficulties encountered when removing the hemostatic dressing, surgical and medical treatments, duration of hospitalization), and patient outcome.

The study was approved by the ethical committee of our institution.

Results

Hemostatic dressings were used 30 times by the prehospital medical team during the study period (Table 1). The different contexts of use were aggression (n = 11), accident (n = 15), or suicide (n = 4). The median (IQR) age of the patients was 38 years (29.3–55.4) with 86.7% men (n = 26). For all patients treated, at least one of the lesions was a hemorrhagic open wound. The most common wounding agent was cold steel (n = 15) and the location of the wound was most often cervicocephalic (n=16). Active arterial bleeding was described 19 times.

DOI: 10.1097/MEJ.0000000000000318

uropean

Journal

으

Emergency

Medicine

2015,

<u></u>

00

N_o

8

Intererst of QCG (according to the Complete stop of the Duration of bleeding after Hospital hospitalization Caucal Wound Actions physician of the Difficulties of Ν° Age Sex Cause weanon localization Anticoagulant Shock Bleedina hefore OCG nrehosnital team) introduction of OCG usina QCG Perfusion (ml) Vasopressive drugs department Transfusion Surgery (davs) Outcome 26 М Suicide attempt Razor Upper limb No Arterial Bandage Avoid tourniquet or Complete stop No < 500 No ICU No Yes Return home pressure point ICH 78 30 Nο Nο Avoid tourniquet or Complete stop Nο 700 Nο Nο Yes Return home Suicide attempt Subway Lower limb Venous None pressure point Suicide attempt Head Yes Arterial Bandage Spare time (scalp) Complete stop Yes 1500 Yes ICU Yes Dead 74 Car Neck Nο Nο Other actions were Nο 1900 Yes ICH Yes Yes Dead Accident Arterial Randage Complete stop 1 ineffective 22 Knife No Yes Pressure Other actions were 2500 Yes ICU Yes 7 Return home Upper limb Arterial Incomplete stop Yes Aggression point ineffective Aggression Glass Nack Nο Yes Artorial Bandage Other actions were Complete stor No 1500 No ICU Nο Yes 3 Return home ineffective 21 Nο Nο Randage ٥ Nο Surgery Yes 4 Agaression Knife Neck Venous Other actions were Complete stop Nο Nο Return home ineffective Bandage Knife No Other actions were Incomplete stop < 500 No ED No 2 Return home Aggression ineffective 35 Accident Knife Parinaum Nο No Linknown Bandage Other actions were Incomplete stop Yac < 500 No ΕD No Yac 9 Return home ineffective 10 Nο Nο Pressure Nο < 500 No ICU Yes 12 Aggression Fire arm Lower limb Arterial Avoid tourniquet Complete stop Nο Return home point Aggression Knife No No Bandage Other actions were Complete stop 650 No Surgery No Return home ineffective 19 50 Accident Car Parinaum Nο Yes Artorial Bandage Other actions were Incomplete stop No 2000 Yes ICH ۷۵۰ Yac 14 Dead ineffective Lower limb No ICU 2 72 Accident Saw VKA No Venous Bandage Avoid tourniquet or Complete stop < 500 No Yes Return home pressure point 14 34 М Aggression Knife No Nο Arteria Tourniquet Tourniquet removed after Complete stop No 1000 No ICU Nο Yes 3 Return home OCG 15 46 Accident Car Head Nο Nο None Spare time (scalp < 500 No ICU Return home Arterial Complete stop Nο Yes 16 76 F Accident Fall Head ΔSΔ No Artorial Bandage Spare time (scalp) Complete stop No < 500 No ΕD No Yac 12 Return home 17 24 М No No Nο No ICU 2 Aggression Knife Upper limb Arteria Bandage Other actions were Complete stop < 500 No Yes Return home ineffective 18 No ICH 2 36 Aggression Knifa Nack No No Artorial Bandage Other actions were Incomplete stop No 750 No Yac Return home ineffective ICU 19 32 Accident Glass Unner limb Nο Nο Arterial Bandage Avoid tourniquet or Complete stop Nο < 500 Nο Nο Yes 2 Return home pressure point 20 75 Accident Knife Neck No Yes None Other actions were Complete stop No 1000 Yes ICU No No Dead ineffective 21 27 М Yac No ICH 2 Aggression Knife Head No Artorial Bandage Snara time (ecaln) Complete stop Yac 1000 No Yac Return home 22 41 M Accident Fall Head No Nο Arterial Bandage Spare time (scalp) Complete stop No < 500 No ED No Yes 2 Return home 50 М Accident Saw Upper limb No Venous Tourniquet Tourniquet removed after Complete stop No < 500 No Surgery No Yes 3 Return home OCG 24 25 М Accident Knife Upper limb No Yes Venous Tourniquet Tourniquet removed after Complete stop No 500 No ED No Yes 3 Return home QCG FD 25 37 М Glass Nο Nο Nο < 500 Nο Yes Accident Aead Arterial Bandage Spare time (scalp) Complete stop Nο Return home 26 49 М Suicide attempt Knife Abdomen No Yes unknown None Other actions were No stop No 4000 Yes Dead No No 0 Dead ineffective 27 92 No Yes ICU 4 Accident Knife Head Yes Arterial Bandage Other actions were Complete stop No 1500 Yes Yes Return home ineffective 82 М Fall VKA Bandage Spare time (scalp) Incomplete stop ED No 29 78 Yes > 2500 ICU Agaression Knife Neck No Yes Unknown Bandage Other actions were No stop Yes Yes Yes 2 Dead ineffective 30 39 M No Avoid tourniquet or Complete stop 500 No Surgery 2 Return home pressure point

ASA, acetysalicylic acid; ED, emergency department; F, female; M, male; QCG, QuikClot Combat Gauze; VKA, vitamin K antagonist.

The use of QCG was justified by the ineffectiveness of other hemostasis techniques (e.g. direct pressure or pressure with a standard gauze field dressing and elastic bandages) in 26 of 30 cases and involved an inaccessible area for pressure or tourniquet in 14 cases. In seven patients, the hemostatic dressing was used for a scalp wound during the initial phase of patient management. QCG application was associated with the complete cessation of bleeding in 22 cases, the decrease of the bleeding in six cases, and ineffectiveness in two cases. In three patients, the use of a hemostatic dressing permitted the removal of an effective tourniquet initially applied by a witness while maintaining hemorrhage control.

No complications or side-effects were reported during the prehospital phase or during hemostatic dressing removal after arrival at the hospital. Difficulties in QCG application have been reported by the operator in four cases, including two cases in which the thin and narrow nature of the wound made it difficult to introduce the hemostatic dressing closer to the vascular breach, and two cases where the operator had difficulty maintaining the bandage during transportation.

Discussion

Hemostatic dressings have been shown to be more efficient than standard dressings in terms of reduction of bleeding and survival in animal models with hemorrhagic lesions with high and low pressure, probably because the topical hemostatic agent (kaolin, in the case of QCG) improves the creation of a thrombus in the vascular breach when used in association with the compression [3,4].

Their utilization has been advocated by several authors, including the PHTLS Executive Council, on the basis of numerous animal studies [4-6], and hemostatic dressings were used widely by several Western armies during conflicts in Iraq, Afghanistan, and Gaza [3,6–8].

However, other authors point out the difficulties with analyzing data accumulated during combat situations and emphasize that the use of these devices has seldom been described for civilian injuries [3,9].

This case series of 30 uses is, to our knowledge, the first to describe the prehospital utilization of QCG in a civilian context.

We chose QCG among numerous available hemostatic dressings because this device is still recommended as the first-line hemostatic agent by the Tactical Combat Casualty Care Committee and was also selected by the French Military Health Service. According to several practitioners who had experience with its use in Afghanistan, one of its interesting specificities is its roll form, which enables the realization of a packing in the wound.

Doctors and nurses who used QCG in our department described complete cessations of bleeding after its

application in two-thirds of the cases, whereas other measures to control a hemorrhage were ineffective or impossible to use.

In several previous studies [4,7], the interest in hemostatic dressings was related to their effectiveness in open wounds located in inaccessible areas for pressure or a tourniquet, such as the groin or perineum, and their effectiveness in certain situations where other techniques have failed, including cervical injuries.

The physicians in our study reported the efficiency of QCGs in the above-mentioned situations but also described two more original uses that are likely to be interesting.

- (1) The possibility of stopping scalp bleeding, leaving time for the physician to perform other urgent procedures (e.g. rescue, perfusion, and intubation) before achieving the final hemostasis suturing.
- (2) The opportunity to remove a tourniquet after the effective application of a hemostatic dressing. This point confirms the results observed in swine with the possibility to reduce tourniquet time through the use of this type of dressing [10].

No side-effects have been described in our series, either at the time of hemostatic dressing application in a prehospital setting or during removal in the hospital. This result confirms the fact that QCGs in their current form (rolled gauze impregnated with kaolin) produce no exothermic reaction and do not have any side-effects. Conversely, injury by heating and the risk of granule dispersion into the tissue were described with first generations of QuikClot devices that were composed of zeolite granules [3].

It was not possible to introduce hemostatic dressing into the wound in two cases and effectiveness in these cases was affected. Indeed, for the dressing to be effective, it must be in contact with the vascular breach and then associated with manual compression for at least 3-5 min [3]. This has not been completely possible for these two patients as the wounds were deep and punctiform.

Finally, it may seem surprising that QCG has been used only 30 times during the study period. This low usage is related to the procedure of our department that planned, during the study period, to use hemostatic dressing only in case of failure or an inability to perform other hemostasis gestures. The results of our study have since led us to expand the use of these devices.

Limitations of the study

The self-evaluation of a hemostatic dressing's efficiency by the user has multiple biases [3]. The observation of decreased bleeding remains subjective and is difficult to quantify. The absence of a control group makes it impossible to measure the efficiency of QCG, in terms of immediate or late prognosis, and a comparison with other devices such as standard gauze or elastic bandages.

However, carrying out a randomized clinical study to assess the effectiveness of these dressings in the context of human uncontrolled bleeding would be difficult and has not been achieved by other teams. Despite these limitations, certain benefits could be observed more objectively, such as the removal of tourniquets after hemostatic dressing application.

Conclusion

In civil practice, standard gauze field dressings, direct pressure, and tourniquets appear occasionally inadequate for the control of a hemorrhage. The provision of hemostatic dressings in resuscitation ambulances helps physicians and nurses to face these situations by providing them with an additional tool to limit bleeding while rapidly transporting the injured individual to a surgical facility.

The absence of major side-effects and the level of interest noted among physicians who used QCGs for 3 years in our department confirmed our intention to equip our Basic Life Support teams with hemostatic dressings.

Acknowledgements

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Evans JA, van Wessem KJ, McDougall D, Lee KA, Lyons T, Balogh ZJ. Epidemiology of traumatic deaths: comprehensive population-based assessment. World J Surg 2010; 34:158–163.
- 2 Bennett BL, Littlejohn L. Review of new topical hemostatic dressings for combat casualty care. Mil Med 2014; 179:497–514.
- 3 Rhee P, Brown C, Martin M, Salim A, Plurad D, Green D, et al. QuikClot use in trauma for hemorrhage control: case series of 103 documented uses. J Trauma 2008: 64:1093–1099.
- 4 Bulger EM, Snyder D, Schoelles K, Gotschall C, Dawson D, Lang E, et al. An evidence-based prehospital guideline for external hemorrhage control: American College of Surgeons Committee on Trauma. Prehosp Emerg Care 2014: 18:163–173.
- 5 Granville-Chapman J, Jacobs N, Midwinter MJ. Pre-hospital haemostatic dressings: a systematic review. *Injury* 2011; 42:447–459.
- 6 Kheirabadi BS, Scherer MR, Estep JS, Dubick MA, Holcomb JB. Determination of efficacy of new hemostatic dressings in a model of extremity arterial hemorrhage in swine. *J Trauma* 2009; 67:450–459.
- 7 Ran Y, Hadad E, Daher S, Ganor O, Kohn J, Yegorov Y, et al. QuikClot Combat Gauze use for hemorrhage control in military trauma: January 2009 Israel Defense Force experience in the Gaza Strip – a preliminary report of 14 cases. Prehosp Disaster Med 2010; 25:584–588.
- 8 Smith AH, Laird C, Porter K, Bloch M. Haemostatic dressings in prehospital care. *Emerg Med J* 2013; **30**:784–789.
- 9 Travers S, Dubourg O, Ribeiro Parenti L, Lefort H, Albarello S, Domanski L. Prehospital use of haemostatic dressing QuikClot ACS +™ for hemorrhage control of a perineal trauma. Ann Fr Anesth Reanim 2012; 31:969–971.
- 10 MacIntyre AD, Quick JA, Barnes SL. Hemostatic dressings reduce tourniquet time while maintaining hemorrhage control. Am Surg 2011; 77:162–165.